AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

		United Sta		CT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA NEBRASKA	
			District of		
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2008 MAR -6 PM 3: 41	
		V.	ORDER	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
M	AR7	THA LILIANO MIRANDA-SANDOVAL  Defendant	Case	4:08MJ3006-RGK	
	In a	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(	f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	(3)	<ul> <li>a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.</li> <li>The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.</li> <li>A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.</li> </ul>			
Alternative Findings (A)				t has not reduced and presumption.	
×	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq				
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis the appearance of the defendant as required and the saf	ety of the community.	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
_	Alternative Findings (B)				
님	<ul> <li>(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.</li> <li>(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.</li> </ul>				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention					
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that					
			<u> </u>		
		Part III—Dir	rections Regarding Det	ention	
to ti reas Gov	ne ex onab ernn	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences to opportunity for private consultation with defense cou	neral or his designated represences or being held in custansel. On order of a court deliver the defendant to the	sentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, tody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the re United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
		Date J		ture of Judicial Office	
				i. Kopf, U.S.District Judge d Title of Judicial Officer	

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).